The question being on the adoption of the said amendment to the amendment,

Mr. Barron called the previous question,

The question being,

"Shall the main question be now put?"

Mr. Miller demanded the yeas and nays,

The demand being sustained,

The yeas and nays were called, and appeared as follows:

AFFIRMATIVE.

Messrs.	Hatch,	Pugh,
Goldsborough, P't	Hebb,	Purnell,
Abbott,	Hoffman,	Ridgely,
Annan,	Hopkins,	Russell,
Audoun,	Hopper,	Sands,
Barron,	Jones, of Cecil,	Schley,
Carter,	Kennard,	Stirling,
Cunningham,	King,	Stockbridge,
Cushing,	Markey,	Swope,
Daniel,	McComas,	Sykes,
Davis, of Wash.,	Mullikin,	Thomas,
Earle,	Murray,	Todd,
Ecker,	Negley,	Valliant,
Farrow,	Nyman,	Wooden-43.
Galloway,	Parker,	10,

NEGATIVE.

Messrs.	Davis, of Charles,	Marbury,
Berry, of P. Geo.,	Dent,	Mitchell,
Blackiston,	Duvall,	Miller,
Bond,	Edelen,	Morgan,
Brown,	Hollyday,	Parran,
Chambers,	Horsey,	Peter.
Crawford,	Jones of Somerset,	Smith of Dor.,
Dail,	Lee,	Wilmer—23.

So the call for the previous question was sustained.

The question then being on the adoption of the amendment to the amendment as submitted by Mr. Jones, of Somerset,

It was decided in the negative.

Mr. Stirling submitted the following amendment to the amendment: